IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.P.BALACHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 19TH MARCH 2007 / 28TH PHALGUNA 1928

CRP.No. 261 of 2007

OPELE.271/2003 of ADDL.DISTRICT COURT (ADHOC)-II, ERNAKULAM

.....

REVN. PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS:

1. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
   REP: BY ITS SECRETARY, VYDYUTHI
   BHAVAN, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DEPUTY TAHSILDAR (LA),
   KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
   POWER HOUSE, M.G.ROAD, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.C.K.KARUNAKARAN, SC FOR KSEB

RESPONDENT/PETITIONER:

SRI.M.V.CHACKO, S/O.VARKEY,
MALIYEKKAL HOUSE, PERINGOLE KARA,
KOLENCHERRY.

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVINGCOME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.P. Balachandran, J.

C.M.Appl.No. 325 of 2007 &

C.R.P.No. 261 of 2007

ORDER

C.M.Appl.No.325/07

This is an application for condonation of delay of 187 days in filing the revision petition. In the affidavit, filed in support of the application, it is stated that the award was passed on 21.4.2006, that copy was applied for on 17.8.2006, that the copy was received on 4.10.2006; that the Board decided to file revision on 24.2.2007; that instructions were received to file revision by the counsel only on 2.3.2007 and that the revision is filed on 13.3.2007. Why there was a delay of about four months to apply for a copy of the award; why there was a delay of more than four and a half months for the Board to take a decision to file revision and why thereafter the revision is filed only on 13.3.2007 are not matters, which are explained, except that it is due to administrative

exigencies. The explanation so offered is not at all satisfactory to condone the delay of 187 days in filing the revision. In the result, refusing to condone the delay of 187 days in filing the revision petition, I dismiss this C.M.Application.

C.R.P.No.261/07

C.M.Appl.No.325/07 seeking for condonation of delay of 187 days in filing the civil revision petition is dismissed. Consequently, this revision, filed far out of time, is not maintainable and is dismissed.

19th March, 2007 (K.P.Balachandran, Judge)

tkv